Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# Bis(µ-di-2-pyridylaminato 1-oxide)bis[(trifluoroacetato)copper(II)] acetonitrile disolvate

# Xi-Rui Zeng,<sup>a</sup>\* Xiao-Niu Fang,<sup>a</sup> Shie-Ming Peng<sup>b</sup> and Hsiu-Mei Lin<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, JiangGangShan Normal College, 343009 Ji'an, Jiangxi, People's Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10764, Taiwan, and <sup>c</sup>Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan Correspondence e-mail: xiruizeng@hotmail.com

Received 16 July 2003 Accepted 15 October 2003 Online 31 October 2003

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $[Cu_2(C_2F_3O_2)_2(C_{10}H_8N_3O)_2]\cdot 2CH_3CN$ , contains discrete  $[Cu_2(CF_3COO)_2(O-dpa)_2]$  molecules (O-dpaH is di-2-pyridylamine 1-oxide) which have imposed crystallographic twofold symmetry and an acetonitrile molecule of solvation. The O-dpa<sup>-</sup> ligand is both bidentate and bridging, linking two Cu atoms with a separation of 3.4270 (11) Å. Each Cu atom is surrounded by four coordinated atoms that are almost coplanar, with dimensions Cu-N = 1.940 (2) and 1.984 (3) Å, and Cu-O = 1.912 (2) and 1.945 (2) Å.

## Comment

In attempts to develop polynuclear compounds of transition metals using multidentate N-donor groups as ligands (Shieh *et al.*, 1997; Wang *et al.*, 1998; Chang *et al.*, 1999; Peng *et al.*, 2000), we have noticed the behavior of the polypyridylamine *N*-oxides, because *N*-oxides offer functional group manipulation and structural modification possibilities that are not usually



accessible by other methods (Cope & Ciganek, 1963). In our research system, di-2-pyridylamine 1-oxide (O-dpaH; O-dpa<sup>-</sup> is the di-2-pyridylaminate 1-oxide anion) is the simplest polypyridylamine oxide. The O-dpaH frame is stable in air but, to the best of our knowledge, no transition metal

complexes of O-dpaH have been reported. We report here the synthesis and structure of the title dinuclear  $Cu^{II}$  complex, (I).



As shown in Fig. 1, complex (I) has crystallographically imposed twofold symmetry and the O-dpa- ligand is both bidentate and bridging, linking two Cu atoms with a separation of 3.4270 (11) Å. The O-dpa<sup>-</sup> ligand takes the syn form, with each Cu atom coordinated to four atoms, viz. an amide N atom, an N-oxide O atom of one O-dpa<sup>-</sup> anion, with a bite angle O1-Cu1-N2 of 83.09 (10)°, a pyridine N atom of another O-dpa<sup>-</sup> anion, and an O atom of a trifluoroacetate group. Atom Cu1 is 0.1648 (10) Å from the best plane of the CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> moiety. Although this compound can be considered as basically four-coordinate, atoms N2<sup>i</sup> and O3 (see Fig. 1) occupy possible fifth and sixth coordination sites, with  $Cu1 \cdots N2^{i} = 2.904$  (3) Å [symmetry code: (i) -x,  $y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ] and  $Cu1 \cdots O3 = 3.103$  (3) Å. This type of coordination is perhaps best described as intermediate between square-planar fourcoordination and distorted octahedral geometry. The eightmembered Cu1-N3<sup>i</sup>-C6<sup>i</sup>-N2<sup>i</sup>-Cu1<sup>i</sup>-N3-C6-N2 chelate ring (Fig. 1) adopts a boat conformation. The individual pyridyl rings are essentially planar [the maximum deviation from their planes is 0.008 (2) Å for atom C5], with the pyridylring planes forming a dihedral angle of 55.11 (12)°. There is no H atom on amide atom N2; the original amide H atom is eliminated rather than being transferred to the N atom of a pyridine ring. The N2–C6 bond length [1.404 (4) Å] is almost



### Figure 1

The complex molecule of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and, for clarity, the acetonitrile molecule of solvation and the H atoms have been omitted. [Symmetry code: (i) -x, y,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .]

# metal-organic compounds

equal to that in the free ligand [1.395 (2) Å], while the N2–C5 bond length is apparently shortened from 1.367 (2) Å in the free ligand to 1.347 (4) Å in the complex (Thellend *et al.*, 1997; Bergstad & Backvall, 1998; Jiao & Yu, 2001). The suggested mechanism is illustrated in the reaction scheme above (Wu *et al.*, 1990). The dehydrogenation in (I) only occurs as a consequence of the oxidation of Cu<sup>I</sup> to Cu<sup>II</sup> in the presence of air and traces of water.

## **Experimental**

O-dpaH (0.1 g, 0.535 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (20 ml) and copper(I) trifluoroacetate (CuCF<sub>3</sub>COO; 0.1 g, 0.568 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 10 h in air at room temperature. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was crystallized by diffusion of ether at room temperature. After several days, green single crystals of (I) were obtained. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3441.92, 3092.32, 1695.85, 1508.49, 1477.03, 1384.88, 1189.8, 1139.58, 753.28.

#### Crystal data

$[Cu_2(C_2F_3O_2)_2(C_{10}H_8N_3O)_2]$ -	$D_x = 1.619 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$2C_2H_3N$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 807.62$	Cell parameters from 2991
Monoclinic, C2/c	reflections
a = 21.203 (4)  Å	$\theta = 2.0-25.0^{\circ}$
b = 7.468 (2) Å	$\mu = 1.37 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 22.123(5)  Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 108.947 \ (15)^{\circ}$	Prism, green
$V = 3313.2 (13) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.38 \times 0.25 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius TurboCAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.031$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
Non-profiled $\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -25 \rightarrow 0$
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan	$k = -8 \rightarrow 0$
(North et al., 1968)	$l = -24 \rightarrow 26$
$T_{\min} = 0.675, T_{\max} = 0.738$	3 standard reflections
2991 measured reflections	frequency: 60 min
05 independent reflections intensity decay: 4%	
2106 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	· ·

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0434P)^2]$
R(F) = 0.033	+ 4.4467P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.095$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
2905 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
226 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

In the refinement, H atoms were allowed for as riding atoms, with C–H distances of 0.93 and 0.96 Å.

Data collection: *CAD-4 EXPRESS* (Enraf–Nonius, 1994); cell refinement: *CAD-4 EXPRESS*; data reduction: *XCAD*4 (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-O1	1.912 (2)	O1-N1	1.356 (3)
Cu1-N2	1.940 (2)	N1-C1	1.345 (4)
Cu1-O2	1.945 (2)	N1-C5	1.367 (4)
Cu1-N3 <sup>i</sup>	1.984 (3)	N2-C5	1.347 (4)
Cu1-N2i	2.904 (3)	N2-C6	1.404 (4)
Cu1-O3	3.103 (3)		
O1-Cu1-N2	83.09 (10)	O1-N1-C5	118.2 (2)
O1-Cu1-O2	90.97 (10)	C5-N2-C6	120.9 (3)
N2-Cu1-N3 <sup>i</sup>	97.73 (10)	C5-N2-Cu1	112.6 (2)
O2-Cu1-N3 <sup>i</sup>	89.44 (11)	C6-N2-Cu1	120.59 (19)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ .

(Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation, People's Republic of China (grant No. 20261004).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DE1219). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

Bergstad, K. & Backvall, J. E. (1998). J. Org. Chem. 63, 6650-6655.

- Chang, H.-C., Li, J.-T., Wang, C.-C., Lin, T.-W., Lee, H.-C., Lee, G.-H. & Peng, S.-M. (1999). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 1243–1251.
- Cope, A. C. & Ciganek, E. (1963). Organic Syntheses, Collective Vol. IV, pp. 612–613. New York: Wiley
- Enraf-Nonius (1994). CAD-4 EXPRESS. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. Jiao, Y. & Yu, H. (2001). Synlett, pp. 73-74.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359
- Peng, S.-M., Wang, C.-C., Jang, Y.-L., Chen, Y.-H., Li, F.-Y., Mou, C.-Y. & Leung, M.-K. (2000). J. Magn. Mater. 209, 80–83.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Shieh, S.-J., Chou, C.-C., Lee, G.-H., Wang, C.-C. & Peng, S.-M. (1997). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 36, 56–58.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Thellend, A., Battioni, P., Sanderson, W. & Mansuy, D. (1997). *Synthesis*, pp. 1387–1388.
- Wang, C.-C., Lo, W.-C., Chou, C.-C., Lee, G.-H., Chen, J.-M. & Peng, S.-M. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* 37, 4059–4065.
- Wu, L.-P., Field, P., Morrissey, T., Murphy, C., Nagle, P. & Hathaway, B. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3835–3840.